

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/729,667	12/04/2000		Kevin Manbeck	1748/109	6386
2101	7590	03/30/2005	EXAMINER		INER
BROMBEI		NSTEIN LLP	NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
BOSTON, I				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
20000,				2616	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commence	09/729,667	MANBECK, KEVIN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		HUY T NGUYEN	2616				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>24-71</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14 and 16</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,15 and 17-23</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
A44a = 1	W-)	·					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 04/22/02,03/19/01.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5,7-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkins (6,560,366).

Wilkins discloses a system (column 1, lines 45-55) for checking the consistency between a digital video master (original video frame) and a duplicate video (copies video frame), wherein the digital video master and the duplicate digital video are each composed of digital data, the digital data composed of a plurality of data segments (column 1, lines 15-5), the system comprising:

a comparison module that retrieves the digital data of the digital video master and the duplicate digital video and performs a data segment-by-data segment comparison between the digital data of the digital video master and the digital data of the duplicate digital video, the comparison module indicating any discrepancies between the digital video master and the duplicate digital video (column 4, lines 25-35, column 2 lines 3-35).

Regading claim 2, Wilkins teaches each data segment is a byte representative of video since the video is a digital vide signal..

Application/Control Number: 09/729,667

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Wilkins teaches each data segment is representative of a displayed line of video (column 3, lines 1-10, column 3, lines 35-column 4, line 30).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Wilkins teaches each data segment is representative of a displayed field of video (See Abstract, Fig. 2, column 3, line 35 to column 4, line 30).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Wilkins teaches each data segment is representative of a displayed frame of video (Abstract figure 2, column 3, lines 35 to column 4, line 30).

Regarding claim 7, Wilkins teaches the comparison module indicates only those discrepancies above a threshold (column 2, lines 20-25).

Regarding claim 8, Wilkins teaches the threshold is based upon time duration of the discrepancy (column 3, lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 9, Wilkins further teaches the threshold is based upon spatial relationships between discrepancies (column 3, lines 63 to column 4, line 10).

Regarding claim 13, Wilkins teaches the threshold is based upon viewer perceptibility standards (Fig 2).

Regarding claim 14, Wilkins teaches wherein the threshold is based upon variance in intensity level of the discrepancy (column 3, lines 55-60).

Regarding claim 16, Wilkins teaches the comparison module indicates discrepancies by time of the discrepancy (column 3 lines 20-65).

Wilkins fails to teach storage means for storing the vide master and duplicate video .

Yasuye teaches an apparatus for comparing the data and detecting discrepancies in the data using a storage means for storing the data to be compared (column 4, lines 5-60). It would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wilkins with Yasuye by using storage means as taught by Yasuye for storing the digital of video master and digital data of the duplicate video to be compared thereby enhancing the function of the apparatus of Wilkins easily controlling the timing of accessing of the digital data of the video master and duplicate video.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 24-71 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 6,15 and 17-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (571) 272-7375. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,667

Art Unit: 2616

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N

HUY NEWYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER